

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,424	07/29/2003	Jeffrey A. Read	ARL 01-37 5300	
37064 OFFICE OF C	7590 04/06/2007 OMMAND COUNSEL,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
U.S. ARMY M	IATERIEL COMMAND	RHEE, JANE J		
ATTN: AMCC 9301 CHAPEK	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IR, VA 22060-5527	1745		
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_	
C1	
ゕ	
•	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/628,424	READ, JEFFREY A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jane Rhee	1745				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	·						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2007.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	·						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 13-25 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•				
· _ ·	Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	÷					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er	•				
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		' '				
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	• •	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/24/2003</u> . 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/28/2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a)DMC b)DPC c)DEC c) EMC d) THF e)DME f)PC g)gamma BL h)DMSO I) NMP j) tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether k) triethylene glycol dimethyl ether. The species are independent or distinct because they are directed to different materials for the nonaqueous solvent.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 13 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,424

Art Unit: 1745

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Adams on March 30,2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of species e) DME.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 18-25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Rejection Withdrawn

3. The 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 13-17 anticipated by Narang et al. has been withdrawn due to applicant's affidavit filed on 2/28/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowden et al. (4329404)

As to claims 13-17, Bowden et al. discloses an electrolyte solution, the battery comprising a lithium metal containing anode (col. 4 line9-10), a cathode comprising

Application/Control Number: 10/628,424 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

carbon (col. 3 line 12-13), the electrolyte comprising a lithium salt LiPF6 (col. 1 line 65-68), and a non-aqueous solvent comprising dimethoxyethane (col. 2 line 4).

As to the limitation, "for a metal-air battery where oxygen is reduced at a cathode surface to produce O^-2 or O2^-2 ions" and "for reducing the oxygen" is an intended use. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which the claimed particle is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed article form a prior art article satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The limitation, "a metal oxygen battery where oxygen is reduced at a cathode to produce O^-2 or O2^-2 ions" is a preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

As to the oxygen solubility of the solvent is at least 0.1150cc O2/cc at STP, since Bowden et al. discloses the solvent desired by the applicant, it is inherent that the oxygen solubility of the solvent is at least 0.1150cc O2/cc at STP.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane Rhee whose telephone number is 571-272-1499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jane Rhee March 30,2007